

Chapter 6: Predicate Calculus: Deductive Systems

October 26, 2008

Outline

1 6.1 Gentzen Proof System \mathcal{G}

2 6.2 Hilbert Proof System \mathcal{H}

6.1 Gentzen Proof System \mathcal{G}

- As in propositional logic, Gentzen proof system is based on the reversal of a semantic tableau for a formula.

Example

Prove that

$$\models (\forall x p(x) \vee \forall x q(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (p(x) \vee q(x))$$

Solution: We will start by constructing a tableau for the negation

$$\neg[(\forall x p(x) \vee \forall x q(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (p(x) \vee q(x))]$$

$$\neg[(\forall x p(x) \vee \forall x q(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (p(x) \vee q(x))]$$

$$\forall x p(x) \vee \forall x q(x), \neg \forall x (p(x) \vee q(x))$$

$$\forall x p(x), \neg \forall x (p(x) \vee q(x)) \quad \forall x q(x), \neg \forall x (p(x) \vee q(x))$$

$$\forall x p(x), \neg(p(a) \vee q(a))$$

$$\forall x q(x), \neg(p(b) \vee q(b))$$

$$\forall x p(x), \neg p(a), \neg q(a)$$

$$\forall x q(x), \neg p(b), \neg q(b)$$

$$\forall x p(x), p(a), \neg p(a), \neg q(a) \quad \forall x q(x), q(b), \neg p(b), \neg q(b)$$

×

×

Deductive System \mathcal{G}

- **Axioms:** any set of formulas U containing a complementary pair of literals
- **Rules:** α - and β -rules are the same as in propositional logic, plus

$$\frac{U \cup \{\gamma, \gamma(\mathbf{a})\}}{U \cup \{\gamma\}} \qquad \frac{U \cup \{\delta(\mathbf{a})\}}{U \cup \{\delta\}}$$

where

γ	$\gamma(a)$
$\exists x A(x)$	$A(a)$
$\neg \forall x A(x)$	$\neg A(a)$

δ	$\delta(a)$
$\forall x A(x)$	$A(a)$
$\neg \exists x A(x)$	$\neg A(a)$

where a is an arbitrary constant.

Example

The proof in \mathcal{G} for

$$(\forall x p(x) \vee \forall x q(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (p(x) \vee q(x))$$

is

- | | | |
|-----|---|------------------|
| 1. | $\neg\forall x p(x), \neg p(a), p(a), q(a)$ | Axiom |
| 2. | $\neg\forall x q(x), \neg q(b), p(b), q(b)$ | Axiom |
| 3. | $\neg\forall x p(x), p(a), q(a)$ | γ -rule 1 |
| 4. | $\neg\forall x q(x), p(b), q(b)$ | γ -rule 2 |
| 5. | $\neg\forall x p(x), p(a) \vee q(a)$ | α -rule 3 |
| 6. | $\neg\forall x q(x), p(b) \vee q(b)$ | α -rule 4 |
| 7. | $\neg\forall x p(x), \forall x(p(x) \vee q(x))$ | δ -rule 5 |
| 8. | $\neg\forall x q(x), \forall x(p(x) \vee q(x))$ | δ -rule 6 |
| 9. | $\neg[\forall x p(x) \vee \forall x q(x)], \forall x(p(x) \vee q(x))$ | β -rule 8 |
| 10. | $(\forall x p(x) \vee \forall x q(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (p(x) \vee q(x))$ | α -rule 9 |

Theorem

(Soundness and Completeness) Let U be a set of formulas. There is a Gentzen proof for U if and only if there is a closed semantic tableau for U .

6.2 Hilbert Proof System \mathcal{H}

- **Connectives:** \neg, \rightarrow
- **Quantifier:** $\forall x$

Remark

Using $\forall x$ as the only quantifier in predicate formulas is not a genuine restriction, since

$$\exists x A(x) \equiv \neg \forall x \neg A(x)$$

so \exists can be expressed using \neg and \forall .

Deductive System \mathcal{H}

- **Axioms:** The three axioms for the Hilbert system in propositional logic, plus

Axiom 4. $\vdash \forall x A(x) \rightarrow A(a)$

Axiom 5. $\vdash \forall x(A \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \forall x B(x))$, assuming x is not free in A .

- **Rules of Inference:** Modus Ponens, plus

$$\text{(Generalization:)} \quad \frac{\vdash A(a)}{\vdash \forall x A(x)}$$

- There is a problem with the Generalization Rule if it is not being used judiciously; consider the following derivation in the set \mathbb{N} with the unary predicate $even(x)$:

1. $even(2) \vdash even(2)$ Assumption
2. $even(2) \vdash \forall x even(x)$ Gen. Rule 1

- We derived a wrong conclusion that every natural number is even, starting from the true assumption that 2 is even. What went wrong?
- **Answer:** We should not be able to generalize based on a constant included in the assumptions. Namely, assumptions may contain very specific facts and not simply general logical truths.

Generalization Rule:

$$\frac{U \vdash A(a)}{U \vdash \forall x A(x)}$$

provided a **does not** appear in U .

Deduction Rule:

$$\frac{U \cup \{A\} \vdash B}{U \vdash A \rightarrow B}$$

Theorem

(Soundness and Completeness) Hilbert proof system \mathcal{H} for predicate logic is sound and complete.

Specification Rule (Axiom 4):

$$\frac{U \vdash \forall x A(x)}{U \vdash A(a)}$$

for any constant a .

Theorem

$$\vdash A(a) \rightarrow \exists x A(x)$$

Proof.

1. $\vdash \forall x \neg A(x) \rightarrow \neg A(a)$ Axiom 4
2. $\vdash A(a) \rightarrow \neg \forall x \neg A(x)$ Contrap. Rule
3. $\vdash A(a) \rightarrow \exists x A(x)$ Def. of \exists



Theorem

$$\vdash \forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x A(x)$$

Proof.

- | | | |
|----|---|----------------|
| 1. | $\forall x A(x) \vdash \forall x A(x)$ | Assumption |
| 2. | $\forall x A(x) \vdash \forall x A(x) \rightarrow A(a)$ | Axiom 4 |
| 3. | $\forall x A(x) \vdash A(a)$ | MP 1,2 |
| 4. | $\forall x A(x) \vdash A(a) \rightarrow \exists x A(x)$ | Proved earlier |
| 5. | $\forall x A(x) \vdash \exists x A(x)$ | MP 3,4 |
| 6. | $\vdash \forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x A(x)$ | Ded. Rule 5 |



Theorem

$$\vdash \forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \rightarrow \forall x B(x))$$

Proof.

- | | | |
|----|---|----------------|
| 1. | $\forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)), \forall x A(x) \vdash \forall x A(x)$ | Assumption |
| 2. | $\forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)), \forall x A(x) \vdash A(a)$ | Specif. Rule 1 |
| 3. | $\forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)), \forall x A(x) \vdash \forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$ | Assumption |
| 4. | $\forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)), \forall x A(x) \vdash A(a) \rightarrow B(a)$ | Specif. Rule 3 |
| 5. | $\forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)), \forall x A(x) \vdash B(a)$ | MP 2,4 |
| 6. | $\forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)), \forall x A(x) \vdash \forall x B(x)$ | Gen. Rule 5 |
| 7. | $\forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \vdash \forall x A(x) \rightarrow \forall x B(x)$ | Ded. Rule 6 |
| 8. | $\vdash \forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \rightarrow \forall x B(x))$ | Ded. Rule 7 |



- We have just proved a more general version of the Generalization Rule:

Generalization Rule:
$$\frac{\vdash A(x) \rightarrow B(x)}{\vdash \forall x A(x) \rightarrow \forall x B(x)}$$

Theorem

$$\vdash \exists x \forall y A(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$$

Proof.

- | | | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1. | $\vdash A(a, b) \rightarrow \exists x A(x, b)$ | Proved earlier |
| 2. | $\vdash \forall y A(a, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$ | Gen. Rule 1 |
| 3. | $\vdash \neg \forall y \exists x A(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \forall y A(a, y)$ | Axiom 4 |
| 4. | $\vdash \forall x [\neg \forall y \exists x A(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \forall y A(x, y)]$ | Gen. Rule 3 |
| 5. | $\vdash \neg \forall y \exists x A(x, y) \rightarrow \forall x \neg \forall y A(x, y)$ | Axiom 5 |
| 6. | $\vdash \neg \forall x \neg \forall y A(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$ | Contrap. Rule 5 |
| 7. | $\vdash \exists x \forall y A(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$ | Def. of \exists 6 |



Theorem

$$\vdash \forall x(A \rightarrow B(x)) \leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow \forall x B(x))$$

Proof.

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. | $A \rightarrow \forall x B(x) \vdash A \rightarrow \forall x B(x)$ | Assumption |
| 2. | $A \rightarrow \forall x B(x) \vdash \forall x B(x) \rightarrow B(a)$ | Axiom 4 |
| 3. | $A \rightarrow \forall x B(x) \vdash A \rightarrow B(a)$ | Transitivity 1,2 |
| 4. | $A \rightarrow \forall x B(x) \vdash \forall x(A \rightarrow B(x))$ | Gen. Rule 3 |
| 5. | $\vdash (A \rightarrow \forall x B(x)) \rightarrow \forall x(A \rightarrow B(x))$ | Ded. Rule 4 |
| 6. | $\vdash \forall x(A \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \forall x B(x))$ | Axiom 5 |
| 7. | $\vdash \forall x(A \rightarrow B(x)) \leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow \forall x B(x))$ | Def. of \leftrightarrow 5,6 |



One can also prove

Theorem

$$\vdash \forall x(A(x) \rightarrow B) \leftrightarrow (\exists x A(x) \rightarrow B)$$

Proof.

Exercise; see Theorem 6.20 in the textbook.



C-Rule

Suppose U is a set of formulas, and a a constant symbol which does not appear in any formula from U or in $\exists x A(x)$:

$$\frac{U \vdash \exists x A(x)}{U \vdash A(a)}$$

Theorem

If $U \vdash A$ using the C-rule, then $U \vdash A$ can be proved without using the C-rule, with the proviso that nowhere in the proof are we using Generalization Rule on a formula which involves the new constant symbol a .

Theorem

$$\vdash \exists x \forall y A(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$$

Proof.

- | | | |
|----|--|----------------|
| 1. | $\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \vdash \exists x \forall y A(x, y)$ | Assumption |
| 2. | $\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \vdash \forall y A(a, y)$ | C-rule 1 |
| 3. | $\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \vdash A(a, b)$ | Specif. Rule 2 |
| 4. | $\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \vdash \exists x A(x, b)$ | Proved earlier |
| 5. | $\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \vdash \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$ | Gen. Rule 4 |
| 6. | $\vdash \exists x \forall y A(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$ | Ded. Rule 5 |

